

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/820,378	03/28/2001	Paul Richard	PC11809ARDT	5667	
7590 09/09/2004			EXAMINER		
RICHARD R. MICHAUD			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
MCCORMICK	C PAULDING & huber llp				
cityplace II. 185 asylum street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HARTFORD, CT 06103-3402			3724		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	ĺ			
Office Action Summary		09/820,378	į	RICHARD, PAUL	CU			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Omar Flores	s-Sánchez	3724				
	of this communication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF TI - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. a is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period winded period for reply will, by statute, r than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event y within the statuto will apply and will e , cause the applica	however, may a reply be time ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co				
Status								
1) Responsive to comm	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 May 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL.								
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,5-12,20 ar</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) _ is/are object	 Claim(s) 1,5-12,20 and 24-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,5-12,20 and 24-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) _ is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is ob	piected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the c		s have been s have been rity documen	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive	on No	Stage			
* See the attached detai	led Office action for a list	of the certifie	ed copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)			. 🗖 .					
 Notice of References Cited (PTC2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/04.) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:)-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 5/21/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, it is not clear what "allows pivotal movement of said pivot assembly in only one direction of rotation" encompasses. The second biasing means allows for pivotal movement of said pivot assembly in clockwise and counterclockwise directions. A better word could be -- biases--; instead of "allows pivotal movement of".

In claim 32, it is not clear what "virtual axis is substantially disposed within said shave plane" encompasses. Figures illustrate that the virtual axis is below and not within the shave plane.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/820,378

Art Unit: 3724

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11-12, 24-27 and 28 as can be understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Coffin (6442850).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Coffin discloses (Fig. 1-10) the invention including a pivot frame 19, a pivot assembly 14, a system axis 18, a blade assembly 1, first biasing means 53, second biasing means 45, cam follower 27, said pivot assembly is pivotally movable through an angle of approximately \pm 20° or 40° relative to said pivot frame. Coffin's first biasing means is capable of presenting greater resistance depending on the contours of the surface being shaved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,378

Art Unit: 3724

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coffin (6442850).

Coffin discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a cantilevered spring member. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that the use of a cantilevered spring member is old and well known in the art for the purpose of more economically biasing a member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Coffin's biasing means by providing the cantilevered spring member in order to reduce manufacturing cost.

8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coffin (6442850) in view of Andrews (6,161,288).

Coffin discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for an angle of approximately 45°. However, Andrews teaches the use of a blade assembly pivotally movable through an angle of approximately 45° (see Fig. 56, 68 and 70) for the purpose of shaving highly curved surfaces. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Coffin's blade assembly by providing the angle of approximately 45° as taught by Andrews in order to shave highly curved surfaces.

9. Claims 20, 24-33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King et al. (6615498 B1) in view of Richard (6112412).

King discloses (Fig. 1-14A) the invention substantially as claimed including a pivot frame 56, a pivot assembly 24, a blade assembly 28, first biasing means 388A, second biasing means 20, a first position (see Fig. 10B), a second position (see Fig. 10C), a virtual axis A₃.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,378

Art Unit: 3724

King does not show a guard-bar and three blades. However, Richard teaches the use of a guard-bar 72 and three blades (24, 36 and 48) for the purpose of efficiently removing deposited waste between the blades and optimizing blade contact with the skin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified King's blade assembly by providing the guard-bar and three blades as taught by Richard in order to efficiently remove deposited waste between the blades and optimize blade contact with the skin.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs September 7, 2004

> KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER